



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/608,645	06/30/2000	Brian M. Leitner	219.38119X00	9499
20457	7590	08/25/2006	EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP			STRANGE, AARON N	
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1800				2153
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873				

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/608,645	LEITNER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Aaron Strange	2153	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 May 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 9-13 of remarks, filed 5/26/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-7 and 10-16 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made under 35 USC 101.

2. With regard to claims 19-21, Applicants arguments have been considered but are moot in view of a new interpretation of Dobecki, presented below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention lacks patentable utility.

5. With regard to claim 1, the claimed method fails to produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. The final result of the claim is merely a check to determine if the sequence number of a response packet matches a stored sequence number. The check has not been used in a disclosed practical application nor made available for use in a

disclosed practical application. Claims 3 and 4 remedy this deficiency (by accepting the packet) only under the condition specified in those claims. The Examiner recommends amending the claim to recite a useful, concrete, and tangible result that occurs in response to the claimed checking step (such as accepting/dropping the packet). Claim 10 recites substantially identical limitations and is rejected under the same rationale.

Claim Objections

6. Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: The limitation "computer program stored in a network interface" is unclear. The Examiner recommends amending the claim to recite "computer program stored in a memory on a network interface" to clearly show that the program is stored in a physical memory located on a network interface. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claims 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dobecki (US 6,611,879).

9. In referring to claim 19, Dobecki shows a network interface (fig. 7) comprising:
 - A transmitter (418)
 - A receiver (424)
 - A send queue context memory (422, Col 17, Line 62 to Col 18, Line 2).
 - A receive queue context memory (424, Col 16, Lines 55-63);
 - A send queue engine (ME 315: 428p) connected to the send queue (422) context memory and the transmitter and the receiver (col. 16 lines 40- col. 17 line 2), wherein the send queue engine is connected to the send queue context memory by a first connection (Fig 7);
 - A received queue engine (ME 315: 428d) connected to the received queue (424) context memory and the transmitter and the receiver (col. 16 lines 40- col. 17 line 2), wherein the receive queue engine is connected to the receive queue context memory by a second connection separate from the first connection (Fig 7 and Col 16, Line 40 to Col 17, Line 10).

10. In referring to claim 20 and 21, the network interface further comprises of plurality of ports receiving data from a corresponding plurality of NGIO and further comprising a virtual interface architecture establishing communication with plurality of NGIO links (col. 9 lines 63- col. 10 line 5).

11. With regard to claim 22, Dobecki further discloses that the first connection directly connects the send queue engine to the send queue context memory, and the second connection directly connects the receive queue engine partitioned from the send queue engine to the receive queue context memory (Fig 7 and Col 16, Line 40 to Col 17, Line 10).

12. With regard to claim 23, Dobecki further discloses that the send queue engine is directly connected to the transmitter and directly connected to the receiver (Fig 7 and Col 16, Line 40 to Col 17, Line 10).

13. With regard to claim 24, Dobecki further discloses that the receive queue engine is directly connected to the transmitter and directly connected to the receiver (Fig 7 and Col 16, Line 40 to Col 17, Line 10).

Conclusion


14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron Strange whose telephone number is 571-272-3959. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glen Burgess can be reached on 571-272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2153

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AS
8/18/06



KRISNA LIM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "KL". Below the signature, the name "KRISNA LIM" is printed in capital letters, followed by "PRIMARY EXAMINER" in a slightly smaller font.